## **REMARKS**

Claims 18 and 41 have been amended to depend from allowed claim 3. Since the amendment should place the application in condition for allowance, entry of the amendment is respectfully requested.

## **Anticipation Rejection**

On page 2 of the Office Action of June 4, 2008, claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beilstein (1988-2001 Beilstein Institut) or Takao et al (US 6139927) as evidenced by Crabtree et al (US 4725342).

In response, Applicants have amended claim 18 to depend from allowed claim 3 to resolve this issue.

Therefore, Applicants submit that the present invention is not anticipated by (or obvious over) the cited art, and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

## Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Application No.: 10/522,523

Attorney Docket No.: Q85512

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 33,725

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

washington office 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 6, 2008